

On the value of a non-Markovian Dynkin games with partial and asymmetric information

Jan Palczewski

Joint work with Tiziano De Angelis and Nikita Merkulov

University of Leeds

Moscow, 22 October 2020



Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Randomised stopping times
- 3 Dynkin games with asymmetric information
- 4 Main result
- 5 Sketch of the proof



Optimal stopping problem

Optimal stopping

$$x \mapsto \sup_{\sigma \leq T} \mathbb{E}_x [g(X_\sigma)]$$

Horizon: $T > 0$

Probability space: $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$

Markov process: $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$

Control: σ - an \mathcal{F}_t -stopping time

Optimal stopping problem

Optimal stopping

$$x \mapsto \sup_{\sigma \leq T} \mathbb{E}_x [g(X_\sigma)]$$

Horizon: $T > 0$

Probability space: $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$

Markov process: $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$

Control: σ - an \mathcal{F}_t -stopping time

Introduce an **opponent**:



Dynkin, E.B. (1969) *Game variant of a problem of optimal stopping* Soviet Math. Dokl.



Zero-sum Markovian stopping game (Dynkin game)

Minimiser

chooses stopping time τ

Maximiser

chooses stopping time σ

$$N(x, \tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f(X_\tau) + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g(X_\sigma) + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h(X_T) \right]$$

Zero-sum Markovian stopping game (Dynkin game)

Minimiser

chooses stopping time τ

Maximiser

chooses stopping time σ

$$N(x, \tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f(X_\tau) + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g(X_\sigma) + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h(X_T) \right]$$

Value of the game

$$V_*(x) = V^*(x)$$

$$V_*(x) = \sup_{\sigma} \inf_{\tau} N(x, \tau, \sigma)$$

$$V^*(x) = \inf_{\tau} \sup_{\sigma} N(x, \tau, \sigma)$$

Nash equilibrium (τ^*, σ^*)

$$N(x, \tau, \sigma^*) \geq N(x, \tau^*, \sigma^*) \quad \forall \tau$$

$$N(x, \tau^*, \sigma) \leq N(x, \tau^*, \sigma^*) \quad \forall \sigma$$



Zero-sum Markovian stopping game (Dynkin game)

$$N(x, \tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f(X_\tau) + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g(X_\sigma) + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h(X_T) \right]$$



E. Ekström, G. Peskir (2008) *Optimal Stopping Games for Markov Processes*, SICON

Assumptions:

$$f(x) \geq h(x) \geq g(x)$$

Theorem. If (X_t) is strong Markov and càdlàg, and f, g, h continuous, then the game has a [value](#):

$$\sup_{\sigma} \inf_{\tau} N(x, \tau, \sigma) = \inf_{\tau} \sup_{\sigma} N(x, \tau, \sigma).$$



Zero-sum Markovian stopping game (Dynkin game)

$$N(x, \tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f(X_\tau) + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g(X_\sigma) + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h(X_T) \right]$$



E. Ekström, G. Peskir (2008) *Optimal Stopping Games for Markov Processes*, SICON

Assumptions:

$$f(x) \geq h(x) \geq g(x)$$

Theorem. If (X_t) is strong Markov and càdlàg, and f, g, h continuous, then the game has a **value**:

$$\sup_{\sigma} \inf_{\tau} N(x, \tau, \sigma) = \inf_{\tau} \sup_{\sigma} N(x, \tau, \sigma).$$

Theorem. If, additionally, (X_t) is quasi left-continuous, then there is a **Nash equilibrium**.



Zero-sum non-Markovian stopping game

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_\tau + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_\sigma + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$



J.P. Lepeltier, M.A. Maingueneau (1984) *Le Jeu de Dynkin en Theorie Generale Sans L'Hypothese de Mokobodski*, Stochastics

$(f_t), (g_t)$ càdlàg bounded processes

$$f_t \geq g_t, \quad f_{T-} \geq h \geq g_{T-}$$

Theorem. The value exists:

$$\sup_{\sigma} \inf_{\tau} N(\tau, \sigma) = \inf_{\tau} \sup_{\sigma} N(\tau, \sigma).$$

Zero-sum non-Markovian stopping game

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_\tau + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_\sigma + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$



J.P. Lepeltier, M.A. Maingueneau (1984) *Le Jeu de Dynkin en Theorie Generale Sans L'Hypothese de Mokobodski*, Stochastics

$(f_t), (g_t)$ càdlàg bounded processes

$$f_t \geq g_t, \quad f_{T-} \geq h \geq g_{T-}$$

Theorem. The value exists:

$$\sup_{\sigma} \inf_{\tau} N(\tau, \sigma) = \inf_{\tau} \sup_{\sigma} N(\tau, \sigma).$$

Proof. Snell envelope type approach + ε -optimal strategies



Zero-sum non-Markovian stopping game

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_\tau + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_\sigma + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$



J.P. Lepeltier, M.A. Maingueneau (1984) *Le Jeu de Dynkin en Theorie Generale Sans L'Hypothese de Mokobodski*, Stochastics

$(f_t), (g_t)$ càdlàg bounded processes

$$f_t \geq g_t, \quad f_{T-} \geq h \geq g_{T-}$$

Theorem. The value exists:

$$\sup_{\sigma} \inf_{\tau} N(\tau, \sigma) = \inf_{\tau} \sup_{\sigma} N(\tau, \sigma).$$

Proof. Snell envelope type approach + ε -optimal strategies



Touzi, Vieille (2002) *Continuous-time Dynkin games with mixed strategies*, SICON



Game options



Y. Kifer (2000) *Game options*, Finance and Stochastics

Buyer gets a payoff $(S_t - K)^+$ when exercises at t

Seller incurs a penalty $(S_t - K)^+ + L$ when recalls at t

Theorem. Price = value of the game



Game options



Y. Kifer (2000) *Game options*, Finance and Stochastics

Buyer gets a payoff $(S_t - K)^+$ when exercises at t

Seller incurs a penalty $(S_t - K)^+ + L$ when recalls at t

Theorem. Price = value of the game

What if players have access to different information, for example, one is an insider?

Partial/asymmetric information

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_\tau + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_\sigma + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ filtered probability space satisfying usual conditions
- f, g are (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted processes; h is an (\mathcal{F}_T) -measurable random variable

Partial/asymmetric information

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_\tau + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_\sigma + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ filtered probability space satisfying usual conditions
- f, g are (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted processes; h is an (\mathcal{F}_T) -measurable random variable

Minimiser

Observation $(\mathcal{F}_t^1) \subset (\mathcal{F}_t)$

Chooses (\mathcal{F}_t^1) -stopping time τ

Maximiser

Observation $(\mathcal{F}_t^2) \subset (\mathcal{F}_t)$

Chooses (\mathcal{F}_t^2) -stopping time σ

- Does the game has a value

$$\sup_{\sigma} \inf_{\tau} N(\tau, \sigma) = \inf_{\tau} \sup_{\sigma} N(\tau, \sigma)?$$

Partial/asymmetric information

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_\tau + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_\sigma + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ filtered probability space satisfying usual conditions
- f, g are (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted processes; h is an (\mathcal{F}_T) -measurable random variable

Minimiser

Observation $(\mathcal{F}_t^1) \subset (\mathcal{F}_t)$

Chooses (\mathcal{F}_t^1) -stopping time τ

Maximiser

Observation $(\mathcal{F}_t^2) \subset (\mathcal{F}_t)$

Chooses (\mathcal{F}_t^2) -stopping time σ

- Does the game has a value

$$\sup_{\sigma} \inf_{\tau} N(\tau, \sigma) = \inf_{\tau} \sup_{\sigma} N(\tau, \sigma)?$$

- It is well known that **the value does not exist** in general.



Randomised stopping times

Definition

For $(\mathcal{G}_t) \subset (\mathcal{F}_t)$, a r.v. τ_R is a (\mathcal{G}_t) -randomised stopping time if there are

- $U \sim U(0,1)$ independent from \mathcal{F}_T , and
- (\mathcal{G}_t) -adapted non-decreasing càdlàg (ξ_t) with $\xi_{0-} = 0$ and $\xi_T = 1$

such that

$$\tau_R = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \xi_t > U\}.$$

Randomised stopping times

Definition

For $(\mathcal{G}_t) \subset (\mathcal{F}_t)$, a r.v. τ_R is a (\mathcal{G}_t) -randomised stopping time if there are

- $U \sim U(0,1)$ independent from \mathcal{F}_T , and
- (\mathcal{G}_t) -adapted non-decreasing càdlàg (ξ_t) with $\xi_{0-} = 0$ and $\xi_T = 1$

such that

$$\tau_R = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \xi_t > U\}.$$

Examples.

- pure stopping time τ corresponds to $\xi_t = \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq t}$
- stopping with intensity $\lambda(t)$ corresponds to absolutely continuous (ξ_t)
- but also singular processes e.g. given by local times.

Randomised stopping times

Definition

For $(\mathcal{G}_t) \subset (\mathcal{F}_t)$, a r.v. τ_R is a (\mathcal{G}_t) -randomised stopping time if there are

- $U \sim U(0, 1)$ independent from \mathcal{F}_T , and
- (\mathcal{G}_t) -adapted non-decreasing càdlàg (ξ_t) with $\xi_{0-} = 0$ and $\xi_T = 1$

such that

$$\tau_R = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \xi_t > U\}.$$

Examples.

- pure stopping time τ corresponds to $\xi_t = \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq t}$
- stopping with intensity $\lambda(t)$ corresponds to absolutely continuous (ξ_t)
- but also singular processes e.g. given by local times.

Remark. This definition is equivalent to a more classical definition of mixed strategy when $\tau_R : \Omega \times (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, T]$ is such that

- it is $\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(0, 1)$ -measurable,
- $\omega \mapsto \tau_R(\omega, u)$ is a (\mathcal{G}_t) -stopping time for each fixed $u \in (0, 1)$.



Existing literature



Grün (2013) *On Dynkin games with incomplete information*, SICON

- Markovian setting with a diffusion (X_t)
- finite number of regimes θ selecting payoff functions $f^\theta(x)$, $g^\theta(x)$ and $h^\theta(x)$
- only one player knows θ
- existence of value for randomised strategies for both players
- convex and differential techniques adapted from Cardaliaguet (2007) *Differential games with asymmetric information* SICON



Gensbittel, Grün (2019) *Zero-sum stopping games with asymmetric information*, Mathematics of Operations Research

- each player observes own stochastic process (finite state space Markov process)
- payoff depends on both processes
- existence of value in randomised strategies
- convex and differential techniques as in the other paper



Existing literature



De Angelis, Ekstrom, Glover (2018) *Dynkin games with incomplete and asymmetric information* arxiv:1810.07674

- univariate dynamics $dX_t = \mu^\theta(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t$
- verification result proved
- explicit solution for GBM and linear payoffs: ξ_t is absolutely continuous wrt local time at some $B \in \mathbb{R}$



Existing literature



De Angelis, Ekstrom, Glover (2018) *Dynkin games with incomplete and asymmetric information* arxiv:1810.07674

- univariate dynamics $dX_t = \mu^\theta(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t$
- verification result proved
- explicit solution for GBM and linear payoffs: ξ_t is absolutely continuous wrt local time at some $B \in \mathbb{R}$



Touzi, Vieille (2002) *Continuous-time Dynkin games with mixed strategies*, SICON

- distinct from the above approaches
- uses **Sion's min-max theorem**
- payoffs (f_t) and (g_t) semimartingales with integrable sup-norm



Main theorem

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_\tau + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_\sigma + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$

Minimiser

Observation $(\mathcal{F}_t^1) \subset (\mathcal{F}_t)$

Chooses $\tau \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$

Maximiser

Observation $(\mathcal{F}_t^2) \subset (\mathcal{F}_t)$

Chooses $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^2)$

Theorem


Under the assumptions on the next slide, the *value exists in randomised strategies*, i.e.

$$\inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\tau, \sigma) = \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} N(\tau, \sigma).$$




Assumptions

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_\tau + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_\sigma + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$

- All filtrations satisfy usual conditions
- $\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (|f_t| + |g_t|) \right] < \infty$
- $f_t \geq g_t$ and $f_T \geq h \geq g_T$
- $f_t = f_t^1 + f_t^2$, $g_t = g_t^1 + g_t^2$, where
 - $(f_t^1), (g_t^1)$ are (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted **regular** processes
 -  *P.A. Meyer (1978) Convergence faible et compacité des temps d'arrêt, d'après Baxter et Chacón, Séminaire de probabilités*
 - $(f_t^2), (g_t^2)$ are (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted càdlàg piecewise constant processes of integrable variation with no jumps at 0 and T
 - either (f_t^2) is non-increasing or (g_t^2) is non-decreasing

Assumptions

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_\tau + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_\sigma + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$

- All filtrations satisfy usual conditions
- $\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (|f_t| + |g_t|) \right] < \infty$
- $f_t \geq g_t$ and $f_T \geq h \geq g_T$
- $f_t = f_t^1 + f_t^2$, $g_t = g_t^1 + g_t^2$, where
 - $(f_t^1), (g_t^1)$ are (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted **regular** processes
 -  *P.A. Meyer (1978) Convergence faible et compacité des temps d'arrêt, d'après Baxter et Chacón, Séminaire de probabilités*
 - $(f_t^2), (g_t^2)$ are (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted càdlàg piecewise constant processes of integrable variation with no jumps at 0 and T
 - either (f_t^2) is non-increasing or (g_t^2) is non-decreasing

Our framework encompasses **virtually all** (known to us) examples of zero-sum Dynkin games (in continuous time) with partial/asymmetric information.

Program

- 1 Reformulate as a game between singular controllers
- 2 Show existence of value when one player uses absolutely continuous controls
- 3 Extend to general singular controls

Lemma

Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^2)$ with generating processes ξ_t, ζ_t and independent randomisation devices.

$$\mathbb{E} \left[f_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\}} \cap \{\tau < T\} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T)} f_t (1 - \zeta_{t-}) d\xi_t \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[g_\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\}} \cap \{\sigma < T\} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T)} g_t (1 - \xi_t) d\zeta_t \right]$$



Reformulation

Lemma

Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^2)$ with generating processes ξ_t, ζ_t and independent randomisation devices.

$$\mathbb{E} [f_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\}} \cap \{\tau < T\}] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T)} f_t (1 - \zeta_{t-}) d\xi_t \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E} [g_\sigma \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\}} \cap \{\sigma < T\}] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T)} g_t (1 - \xi_t) d\zeta_t \right]$$

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\}} \cap \{\tau < T\} f_\tau + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\}} \cap \{\sigma < T\} g_\sigma + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$

⇓

$$N(\xi, \zeta) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T)} f_t (1 - \zeta_{t-}) d\xi_t + \int_{[0, T)} g_t (1 - \xi_t) d\zeta_t + h \Delta \xi_T \Delta \zeta_T \right]$$



Sion's min-max theorem

Theorem

Let X be a *convex subset* of a linear topological space and Y a *compact convex subset* of a linear topological space. Let N be a real-valued function on $X \times Y$ such that

- 1 $N(x, \cdot)$ is upper semi continuous and quasi-concave on Y for each $x \in X$,
- 2 $N(\cdot, y)$ is lower semi continuous and quasi-convex on X for each $y \in Y$,

Then

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} N(x, y) = \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} N(x, y).$$



M. Sion (1958) *On general minmax theorems*, Pacific J. Math.



H. Komiya (1988) *Elementary proof for Sion's minmax theorem*, Kodai Math. J.



Sion's min-max theorem

Theorem

Let X be a *convex subset* of a linear topological space and Y a *compact convex subset* of a linear topological space. Let N be a real-valued function on $X \times Y$ such that

- 1 $N(x, \cdot)$ is upper semi continuous and quasi-concave on Y for each $x \in X$,
- 2 $N(\cdot, y)$ is lower semi continuous and quasi-convex on X for each $y \in Y$,

Then

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} N(x, y) = \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} N(x, y).$$



M. Sion (1958) *On general minmax theorems*, Pacific J. Math.



H. Komiya (1988) *Elementary proof for Sion's minmax theorem*, Kodai Math. J.

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_{\tau} + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_{\sigma} + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$



Value with continuous controls

$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G}_t) := \{\rho : \rho \text{ is } (\mathcal{G}_t)\text{-adapted with } t \mapsto \rho_t(\omega) \text{ càdlàg,}$
non-decreasing, $\rho_{0-}(\omega) = 0$ and $\rho_T(\omega) = 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega\}$.

$\mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{G}_t) := \{\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G}_t) : t \mapsto \rho_t(\omega) \text{ is absolutely continuous on } [0, T]\}$.

Theorem

Assume (g_t^2) is non-decreasing. Then

$$\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta) = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} N(\xi, \zeta).$$

Proof

Embed sets $\mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)$ in

$$L^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \mathcal{B}([0, T]) \times \mathcal{F}, \lambda \times \mathbb{P})$$

with the weak topology.

Weak compactness of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)$:

- Banach-Alaoglu \implies unit ball is weakly compact
- closedness in L^2
- convexity + strong closedness \implies weak closedness

Convexity of $N(\xi, \cdot)$: trivial, see

$$N(\xi, \zeta) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T]} f_t(1 - \zeta_{t-}) d\xi_t + \int_{[0, T]} g_t(1 - \xi_t) d\zeta_t + h\Delta\xi_T \Delta\zeta_T \right]$$

Upper semicontinuity for regular f, g

Fix $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$. We need to prove the upper semicontinuity of

$$\zeta \mapsto N(\xi, \zeta).$$

Consider a sequence $(\zeta^n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)$ converging to $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)$ strongly in L^2 . We have to show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\xi, \zeta^n) \leq N(\xi, \zeta).$$

Then, as level sets are convex, this implies their **weak closedness**, so upper semicontinuity in the weak topology.

Assume, **by contradiction**, that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\xi, \zeta^n) > N(\xi, \zeta)$. There is a subsequence (n_k) over which we have $(\mathbb{P} \times \lambda)$ -a.e. convergence of ζ^{n_k} to ζ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(\xi, \zeta^{n_k}) > N(\xi, \zeta)$. Denote this subsequence as (ζ^n) .

Since ξ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$, by dominated convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T]} f_t(1 - \zeta_{t-}^n) d\xi_t \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T]} f_t(1 - \zeta_{t-}) d\xi_t \right].$$

So we have convergence for the first term of

$$N(\xi, \zeta^n) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T]} f_t(1 - \zeta_{t-}^n) d\xi_t + \int_{[0, T]} g_t(1 - \xi_t) d\zeta_t^n + h\Delta\xi_T \Delta\zeta_T^n \right].$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T)} g_t (1 - \xi_t) d\zeta_t^n + h \Delta \xi_T \Delta \zeta_T^n \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T)} g_t (1 - \xi_{t-}) d\zeta_t^n + h \Delta \xi_T \Delta \zeta_T^n \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T]} g_t (1 - \xi_{t-}) d\zeta_t^n + (h - g_T) \Delta \xi_T \Delta \zeta_T^n \right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T)} g_t(1 - \xi_t) d\zeta_t^n + h\Delta\xi_T \Delta\zeta_T^n \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T)} g_t(1 - \xi_{t-}) d\zeta_t^n + h\Delta\xi_T \Delta\zeta_T^n \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T]} g_t(1 - \xi_{t-}) d\zeta_t^n + (h - g_T)\Delta\xi_T \Delta\zeta_T^n \right],
\end{aligned}$$

We prove a lot of results of this kind

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T]} g_t(1 - \xi_{t-}) d\zeta_t^n \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0, T]} g_t(1 - \xi_{t-}) d\zeta_t \right],$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[(h - g_T)\Delta\xi_T \Delta\zeta_T^n \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[(h - g_T)\Delta\xi_T \Delta\zeta_T \right].$$

In conclusion

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\xi, \zeta^n) \leq N(\xi, \zeta),$$

a **contradiction**.



Approximation with continuous controls

Theorem

Assume (g_t^2) is non-decreasing. Then for any $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$ there is a sequence $(\xi^n) \subset \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\xi^n, \zeta) \leq N(\xi, \zeta).$$

Proof that the value exists:

$$\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta) \geq \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta)$$

Approximation with continuous controls

Theorem

Assume (g_t^2) is non-decreasing. Then for any $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$ there is a sequence $(\xi^n) \subset \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\xi^n, \zeta) \leq N(\xi, \zeta).$$

Proof that the value exists:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta) &\geq \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta) \\ &\geq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} N(\xi, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$



Approximation with continuous controls

Theorem

Assume (g_t^2) is non-decreasing. Then for any $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$ there is a sequence $(\xi^n) \subset \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\xi^n, \zeta) \leq N(\xi, \zeta).$$

Proof that the value exists:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta) &\geq \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta) \\ &\geq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} N(\xi, \zeta) \\ &\geq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} N(\xi, \zeta), \end{aligned}$$



Approximation with continuous controls

Theorem

Assume (g_t^2) is non-decreasing. Then for any $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$ there is a sequence $(\xi^n) \subset \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\xi^n, \zeta) \leq N(\xi, \zeta).$$

Proof that the value exists:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta) &\geq \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta) \\ &\geq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} N(\xi, \zeta) \\ &\geq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} N(\xi, \zeta), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta) = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} N(\xi, \zeta).$$



Approximation with continuous controls

Theorem

Assume (g_t^2) is non-decreasing. Then for any $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$ there is a sequence $(\xi^n) \subset \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\xi^n, \zeta) \leq N(\xi, \zeta).$$

Proof that the value exists:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta) &\geq \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\xi, \zeta) \\ &\geq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} N(\xi, \zeta) \\ &\geq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} \inf_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{ac}(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} N(\xi, \zeta), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} N(\tau, \sigma) = \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^2)} \inf_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}^R(\mathcal{F}_t^1)} N(\tau, \sigma).$$



Summary

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_{\tau} + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_{\sigma} + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$

- Value of a stopping game with partial/asymmetric information in randomised strategies.
- Value may not exist in pure (non-randomised) stopping times.
- Necessity of assumptions shown with counterexamples.
- Framework encompasses most of such games from the literature.
- The proof goes through a reformulation as a game between singular controllers and application of Sion's theorem.



T. De Angelis, N. Merkulov, J. Palczewski (2020) *On the value of non-Markovian Dynkin games with partial and asymmetric information*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.10643>

Summary

$$N(\tau, \sigma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq \sigma\} \cap \{\tau < T\}} f_\tau + \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma < \tau\} \cap \{\sigma < T\}} g_\sigma + \mathbf{1}_{\sigma = \tau = T} h \right]$$

- Value of a stopping game with partial/asymmetric information in randomised strategies.
- Value may not exist in pure (non-randomised) stopping times.
- Necessity of assumptions shown with counterexamples.
- Framework encompasses most of such games from the literature.
- The proof goes through a reformulation as a game between singular controllers and application of Sion's theorem.



T. De Angelis, N. Merkulov, J. Palczewski (2020) *On the value of non-Markovian Dynkin games with partial and asymmetric information*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.10643>

Thank you