

Introduction to stochastic differential equations

Alexander Veretennikov¹
Spring 2020

April 10, 2020

¹National Research University HSE, Moscow State University, Russia
online mini-course

Abstract

strong solutions are wanted under Lipschitz condition

SDEs
introduction

Ito Theorem

A stochastic differential equation in \mathbb{R}^d is considered

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad X_0 = x_0, \quad (1)$$

Or, equivalently in the integral form,

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s)dW_s. \quad (2)$$

Here (W_t, \mathcal{F}_t) is a standard d -dimensional Wiener process, b and σ are vector and matrix Borel functions of corresponding dimensions d and $d \times d$. The initial value x_0 may be non-random, or random but \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable.

Ito isometry, 2D version

Reminder in 1D: $E(\int_0^T f_t dW_t)^2 = E \int_0^T f_t^2 dt$

Equivalently in 1D,

$$E \left(\left(\int_0^T f_t dW_t \right) \times \left(\int_0^T g_t dW_t \right) \right) = E \int_0^T f_t g_t dt.$$

For two independent WP, W^1 and W^2 ,

$$E \left(\left(\int_0^T f_t dW_t^1 \right) \times \left(\int_0^T g_t dW_t^2 \right) \right) = 0.$$

Both identities can be written in a unified formula,

$$E \left(\left(\int_0^T f_t dW_t^i \right) \times \left(\int_0^T g_t dW_t^j \right) \right) = \delta_{ij} E \int_0^T f_t g_t dt.$$

Ito isometry, multidimensional version

Reminder: $E \left(\left(\int_0^T f_t dW_t^i \right) \times \left(\int_0^T g_t dW_t^j \right) \right) = \delta_{ij} E \int_0^T f_t g_t dt.$

For a $d \times d$ matrix-valued process $g_t = (g_t^{ij})$ and a d -dimensional WP let us consider a SI $Ig = \int_0^T g_t dW_t.$

$$\begin{aligned} E \left| \int_0^T g_t dW_t \right|^2 &= \sum_i E \left| \sum_j \int_0^T g_t^{ij} dW_t^j \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j E \int_0^T |g_t^{ij}|^2 dt = E \int_0^T \sum_i \sum_j |g_t^{ij}|^2 dt \\ &= E \int_0^T \|g_t\|^2 dt \stackrel{\text{also}}{=} E \int_0^T \text{Tr}(gg_t^*) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Here g^* is a transposed matrix (adjoint operator) and $\|g\|$ is its "Euclidean norm",

$$\|g\| = \sqrt{\sum_{ij} |g^{ij}|^2}.$$

Lipschitz coefficients, uniqueness

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad X_0 = x_0 \quad (1)$$

Theorem (Ito)

Assume that there exists $c > 0$ such that for any t, x, x' ,

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, x')| + \|\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, x')\| \leq C|x - x'|,$$

and

$$|b(t, x)| + \|\sigma(t, x)\| \leq C(1 + |x|).$$

Then there is no more than one solution of the equation (??).

Proof. Suppose there are two solutions X_t and Y_t . We have,

$$|X_t - Y_t|^2 \leq 2 \left| \int_0^t (b(s, X_s) - b(s, Y_s)) ds \right|^2 \\ + 2 \left| \int_0^t (\sigma(s, X_s) - \sigma(s, Y_s)) dW_s \right|^2.$$

$$((a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2)$$

Lipschitz uniqueness, proof

$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t$, $t \geq 0$, $X_0 = x_0$ (1). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} E|X_t - Y_t|^2 &\leq 2E \left| \int_0^t |b(s, X_s) - b(s, Y_s)| ds \right|^2 \\ &+ 2E \int_0^t \text{Tr}(\sigma(s, X_s) - \sigma(s, Y_s))(\sigma(s, X_s) - \sigma(s, Y_s))^* ds \\ &\leq CE \left| \int_0^t |X_s - Y_s| ds \right|^2 \\ &+ CE \int_0^t \text{Tr}(\sigma(s, X_s) - \sigma(s, Y_s))(\sigma(s, X_s) - \sigma(s, Y_s))^* ds \\ &\leq (C + Ct) \int_0^t E|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sup_{s \leq t} E|X_s - Y_s|^2 \leq 2(\sup_{s \leq t} E(|X_s|^2 + |Y_s|^2)) < \infty$, now Gronwall's (Grönwall's) inequality implies $E|X_t - Y_t|^2 = 0$, and $P(X_t = Y_t, \forall t \geq 0) = 1$, as required.

Lipschitz coefficients, existence

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad X_0 = x_0 \quad (1)$$

Theorem (Ito)

Assume that there exists $c > 0$ such that for any t, x, x' ,

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, x')| + \|\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, x')\| \leq C|x - x'|,$$

and

$$|b(t, x)| + \|\sigma(t, x)\| \leq C(1 + |x|).$$

Then there is a solution of the equation (??).

Proof. The proof will use successive approximations. Let $X_t^0 := x_0, t \geq 0$. Given $X_t^n, t \geq 0$, let

$$X_t^{n+1} := x_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s^n)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s^n)dW_s.$$

Let us consider the difference $X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n$. We have, similarly to the calculus in the uniqueness theorem,

Proofs

$$(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$$

SDEs
introduction

Ito Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 &\leq 2 \left| \int_0^t (b(s, X_s^n) - b(s, X_s^{n-1})) ds \right|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left| \int_0^t (\sigma(s, X_s^n) - \sigma(s, X_s^{n-1})) dW_s \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So, with some $C_t = C + Ct$,

$$\begin{aligned} E|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 &\leq 2E \left| \int_0^t (b(s, X_s^n) - b(s, X_s^{n-1})) ds \right|^2 \\ &\quad + 2E \left| \int_0^t (\sigma(s, X_s^n) - \sigma(s, X_s^{n-1})) dW_s \right|^2 \\ &\leq C_t E \int_0^t |X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by using Doob's inequality for stochastic integrals we also have (with different constants $C_T > 0$ on different lines)

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{t \leq T} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2 &\leq 2E \sup_{t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (b(s, X_s^n) - b(s, X_s^{n-1})) ds \right|^2 \\ &\quad + 2E \sup_{t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (\sigma(s, X_s^n) - \sigma(s, X_s^{n-1})) dW_s \right|^2 \\ &\leq C_T E \int_0^T |X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}|^2 ds \stackrel{(<\infty)}{\leq} C_T \int_0^T E \sup_{t \leq s} |X_t^n - X_t^{n-1}|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting $a_T^n := E \sup_{t \leq T} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n|^2$, by induction we get,

$$0 \leq a_T^n \leq \frac{C_T^n a_T^0}{n!}.$$

Clearly, the series $\sum_n a_t^n$ converges. Let us estimate the probability

$$P(\sup_{t \leq T} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n| \geq 2^{-n}) \leq 4^n a_T^n \leq \frac{(4C_T)^n a_T^0}{n!}.$$

So, by the Borel – Cantelli lemma, with probability one for all n starting with some $n_0(\omega)$,

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n| < 2^{-n}.$$

This implies that the following object is well-defined in $L_2(\Omega \times [0, T], P \times \Lambda)$ and simultaneously in $C([0, T])$ a.s. in ω :

$$X_t := X_t^0 + \sum_{n \geq 0} (X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n).$$

Moreover, there is a uniform in $t \in [0, T]$ convergence

$$X_t^{n+1} = X_t^0 + \sum_{k=0}^n (X_t^{k+1} - X_t^k) \Rightarrow X_t, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We are now able to pass to the limit in the equation

$$X_t^{n+1} := x_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s^n) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s^n) dW_s,$$

which, of course, results in the limiting version of it,

$$X_t := x_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s,$$

as required.

To justify this limiting equation, we firstly note that the process X_t is (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted, along with all X^n . Now, in the right hand side we clearly have $X_t^{n+1} \rightarrow X_t$ a.s. (and even uniformly in $t \leq T$).

If the drift b is, actually, bounded, then we immediately obtain convergence a.s.

$$\int_0^t b(s, X_s^n) ds \rightarrow \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

from the Lebesgue's bounded convergence theorem. Under the more relaxed linear growth condition on b the same result for this Lebesgue integral follows from the a priori bounds (uniform in n , by induction, and for X_t , too)

$$\sup_{t \leq T} E|X_t^n|^2 < \infty.$$

This exercise is left as a homework to the readers. 

Finally, similarly, but now with the help of the Ito isometry we obtain convergence

$$\int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s^n) dW_s - \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

straightforwardly in the case of bounded σ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left| \int_0^t (\sigma(s, X_s^n) - \sigma(s, X_s)) dW_s \right|^2 \\ &= E \int_0^t \|\sigma(s, X_s^n) - \sigma(s, X_s)\|^2 ds \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For unbounded σ the same convergence of stochastic terms follows from similar a priori bounds for the fourth moment,

$$\sup_{t \leq T} E |X_t^n|^4 < \infty$$

(also left as a homework). The existence theorem is proved.

A priori moment bounds

Second moment

The estimate $\sup_{t \leq T} E|X_t|^2 < \exp(CT)Ex_0^2$ with some C would follow easily from Gronwall's inequality, if we only knew already that $E|X_t|^2 < \infty$. Indeed, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} E|X_T|^2 &\leq 3Ex_0^2 + 3E \sup_{t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds \right|^2 \\ &\quad + 3E \sup_{t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s \right|^2 \\ &\leq 3Ex_0^2 + C_T \int_0^T (1 + E|X_t|^2) dt. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to apply Gronwall's inequality. However, while applying it, we also need to know that both sides of the inequality are finite. This can be tackled by stopping times. Similar a priori bounds for $3E(X_0^n)^2$ can be obtained by induction (homework).

Gronwall's inequality

If for a nonrandom function $a(t)$ the following holds true,

$$0 \leq a(t) \leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^t a(s) ds, \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

and either $\sup_{s \leq t} a(s) < \infty$ for all $t > 0$, or, $\int_0^t a(s) ds < \infty$ for all t , then,

$$a(t) \leq C_1 \exp(C_2 t), \quad t \leq T.$$

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &\leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^t a(s) ds \\ &\leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^t (C_1 + C_2 \int_0^s a(s_2) ds_2) ds \\ &\leq C_1 + C_1 C_2 t + C_1 C_2^2 \frac{t^2}{2!} + \dots + C_2^n \|a\| \frac{t^n}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

Solution X_t can be written as

$$X_t^{0,x_0}; X_t^{s,x} \quad (t \geq s)$$