

Optimal Bail-Out Dividend Problem with Transaction Cost and Capital Injection Constraint

Harold A. Moreno-Franco

hmoreno@hse.ru

National Research University Higher School of Economics

Joint work with:

Mauricio Junca

Andes University, Colombia

Jose Luis Perez

Mathematics Research Center (CIMAT), Mexico

Problem formulation

De Finetti's Problem with Fixed Transaction Cost and Capital Injection

The insurance company's surplus under a strategy $\pi := (L^\pi, R^\pi)$ is given by the process

$$X_t^\pi = X_t - L_t^\pi + R_t^\pi, \quad t \geq 0,$$

a

De Finetti's Problem with Fixed Transaction Cost and Capital Injection

The insurance company's surplus under a strategy $\pi := (L^\pi, R^\pi)$ is given by the process

$$X_t^\pi = X_t - L_t^\pi + R_t^\pi, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

- X is modeling by a spectrally negative Lévy process with $X_0 = x \geq 0$, i.e., a Lévy process that only has negative jumps

a

De Finetti's Problem with Fixed Transaction Cost and Capital Injection

The insurance company's surplus under a strategy $\pi := (L^\pi, R^\pi)$ is given by the process

$$X_t^\pi = X_t - L_t^\pi + R_t^\pi, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

- X is modeling by a spectrally negative Lévy process with $X_0 = x \geq 0$, i.e., a Lévy process that only has negative jumps^a.

^a

Problem formulation

De Finetti's Problem with Fixed Transaction Cost and Capital Injection

The insurance company's surplus under a strategy $\pi := (L^\pi, R^\pi)$ is given by the process

$$X_t^\pi = X_t - L_t^\pi + R_t^\pi, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

- X is modeling by a spectrally negative Lévy process with $X_0 = x \geq 0$, i.e., a Lévy process that only has negative jumps^a.

^a $X = \{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a Lévy process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and let $\mathbb{F} := \{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$ be the completed and right-continuous filtration generated by X .

Problem formulation

De Finetti's Problem with Fixed Transaction Cost and Capital Injection

The insurance company's surplus under a strategy $\pi := (L^\pi, R^\pi)$ is given by the process

$$X_t^\pi = X_t - L_t^\pi + R_t^\pi, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

- X is modeling by a spectrally negative Lévy process with $X_0 = x \geq 0$, i.e., a Lévy process that only has negative jumps^a.
- $\pi = \{L^\pi, R^\pi\}$ be a strategy, where L^π is left-continuous \mathbb{P}_x -a.s., and R^π is right-continuous \mathbb{P}_x -a.s..

^a $X = \{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a Lévy process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and let $\mathbb{F} := \{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$ be the completed and right-continuous filtration generated by X .

Problem formulation

De Finetti's Problem with Fixed Transaction Cost and Capital Injection

The insurance company's surplus under a strategy $\pi := (L^\pi, R^\pi)$ is given by the process

$$X_t^\pi = X_t - L_t^\pi + R_t^\pi, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

- X is modeling by a spectrally negative Lévy process with $X_0 = x \geq 0$, i.e., a Lévy process that only has negative jumps^a.
- $\pi = \{L^\pi, R^\pi\}$ be a strategy, where L^π is left-continuous \mathbb{P}_x -a.s., and R^π is right-continuous \mathbb{P}_x -a.s..
- We assume that L^π and R^π are non-negative, and non-decreasing \mathbb{P}_x -a.s., start at zero and are adapted to the filtration \mathbb{F} .

^a $X = \{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a Lévy process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and let $\mathbb{F} := \{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$ be the completed and right-continuous filtration generated by X .

Admissible strategies

- $\pi \in \Theta$ iff X^π is non-negative and

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} dR_t^\pi \right] < \infty, \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

Admissible strategies

- $\pi \in \Theta$ iff X^π is non-negative and

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} dR_t^\pi \right] < \infty, \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

- When there is a fixed transaction cost $\delta > 0$, we only consider the class of admissible strategies $\pi = \{L^\pi, R^\pi\} \in \Theta$ such that

$$L_t^\pi = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \Delta L_s^\pi, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\Delta L_t^\pi := L_{t+}^\pi - L_t^\pi$.

Admissible strategies

- $\pi \in \Theta$ iff X^π is non-negative and

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} dR_t^\pi \right] < \infty, \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

- When there is a fixed transaction cost $\delta > 0$, we only consider the class of admissible strategies $\pi = \{L^\pi, R^\pi\} \in \Theta$ such that

$$L_t^\pi = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \Delta L_s^\pi, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\Delta L_t^\pi := L_{t+}^\pi - L_t^\pi$. We denote this class by Θ_δ and in the case $\delta = 0$, we take $\Theta_0 \equiv \Theta$.

Expected net present value (NPV)

Given an initial capital $x \geq 0$ and a policy $\pi = \{L^\pi, R^\pi\} \in \Theta_\delta$, with $\delta \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\delta, \Lambda}^\pi(x) := & \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} d \left(L_t^\pi - \delta \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\Delta L_s^\pi > 0\}} \right) \right] \\ & - \Lambda \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} dR_t^\pi \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $q > 0$, $\delta \geq 0$, and $\Lambda \geq 0$ is the unit cost per capital injected.

Dividend problem

Find an optimal admissible strategy $\pi^* = (L^{\pi^*}, R^{\pi^*}) \in \Theta_\delta$ such that

$$v_{\delta,\Lambda}^{\pi^*}(x) = V_{\delta,\Lambda}(x) := \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} v_{\delta,\Lambda}^\pi(x).$$

Dividend problem

Find an optimal admissible strategy $\pi^* = (L^{\pi^*}, R^{\pi^*}) \in \Theta_\delta$ such that

$$v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{\pi^*}(x) = V_{\delta, \Lambda}(x) := \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} v_{\delta, \Lambda}^\pi(x).$$

- Avram F., Palmowski Z., and Pistorius, M.. 2007. On the optimal dividend problem for a spectrally negative Lvy process. *The Annals of Applied Probability*.

Dividend problem

Find an optimal admissible strategy $\pi^* = (L^{\pi^*}, R^{\pi^*}) \in \Theta_\delta$ such that

$$v_{\delta,\Lambda}^{\pi^*}(x) = V_{\delta,\Lambda}(x) := \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} v_{\delta,\Lambda}^\pi(x).$$

- Avram F., Palmowski Z., and Pistorius, M.. 2007. On the optimal dividend problem for a spectrally negative Levy process. *The Annals of Applied Probability*.
- Junca M., Moreno-Franco H. A., Pérez J.L.. 2019. Optimal bail-out dividend problem with transaction cost and capital injection constraint. *Risks*.
- Wang W., Wang Y., Li X., Wu X.. 2019. Dividend and Capital Injection Optimization with Transaction Cost for Spectrally Negative Lévy Risk Processes. *Arxiv*.

Optimal dividends with capital injection constraint

We aim to solve

$$V_\delta(x, K) := \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} d \left(L_t^\pi - \delta \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\Delta L_s^\pi > 0\}} \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

s.t. $\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} dR_t^\pi \right] \leq K$, for any $x \geq 0$ and $K \geq 0$.

Optimal dividends with capital injection constraint

We aim to solve

$$V_\delta(x, K) := \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} d \left(L_t^\pi - \delta \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\Delta L_s^\pi > 0\}} \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

s.t. $\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} dR_t^\pi \right] \leq K$, for any $x \geq 0$ and $K \geq 0$.

- Junca M., Moreno-Franco H. A., Pérez J.L.. 2019. Optimal bail-out dividend problem with transaction cost and capital injection constraint. *Risks*.

Optimal dividends with capital injection constraint

We aim to solve

$$V_\delta(x, K) := \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} d \left(L_t^\pi - \delta \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\Delta L_s^\pi > 0\}} \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

s.t. $\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} dR_t^\pi \right] \leq K$, for any $x \geq 0$ and $K \geq 0$.

- Junca M., Moreno-Franco H. A., Pérez J.L.. 2019. Optimal bail-out dividend problem with transaction cost and capital injection constraint. *Risks*.

In another context:

- Hernández C., Junca M., and Moreno-Franco H.. 2018. A time of ruin constrained optimal dividend problem for spectrally one-sided Lévy processes. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*.
- Junca M. Moreno-Franco H.A., Pérez J.L., Yamazaki K.. 2019. Optimality of refraction strategies for a constrained dividend problem. *Adv. App. Prob.*

Lagrange multipliers

In order to solve the problem (2), we use Lagrange multipliers to reformulate our problem. For $\Lambda \geq 0$ we define the function

$$v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{\pi}(x, K) := v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{\pi}(x) + \Lambda K,$$

where $v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{\pi}$ is the expected NPV defined in (1).

Lagrange multipliers

In order to solve the problem (2), we use Lagrange multipliers to reformulate our problem. For $\Lambda \geq 0$ we define the function

$$v_{\delta,\Lambda}^{\pi}(x, K) := v_{\delta,\Lambda}^{\pi}(x) + \Lambda K,$$

where $v_{\delta,\Lambda}^{\pi}$ is the expected NPV defined in (1).

Note that $V_{\delta}(x, K) = \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_{\delta}} \inf_{\Lambda \geq 0} v_{\delta,\Lambda}^{\pi}(x, K)$ since

$$\inf_{\Lambda \geq 0} v_{\delta,\Lambda}^{\pi}(x, K) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\infty} e^{-qt} d(L_t^{\pi} - \delta \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\Delta L_s^{\pi} > 0\}}) \right], & \text{if } \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\infty} e^{-qt} dR_t^{\pi} \right] \leq K, \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Main goal

The dual problem of (2) is defined as

$$V_{\delta}^D(x, K) := \inf_{\Lambda \geq 0} \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_{\delta}} v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{\pi}(x, K) = \inf_{\Lambda \geq 0} \left\{ \Lambda K + \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_{\delta}} v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{\pi}(x) \right\}.$$

Main goal

The dual problem of (2) is defined as

$$V_{\delta}^D(x, K) := \inf_{\Lambda \geq 0} \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_{\delta}} v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{\pi}(x, K) = \inf_{\Lambda \geq 0} \left\{ \Lambda K + \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_{\delta}} v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{\pi}(x) \right\}.$$

The main goal is to prove that

$$V_{\delta}^D(x, K) \leq V_{\delta}(x, K).$$

Some assumptions and properties of the process X

- We omit the case when X has monotone trajectories.
- The Laplace exponent of X is given by

$$\psi(\theta) := \log \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta X_1}] = \gamma\theta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta^2 - \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\theta z} - \theta z \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < z \leq 1\}}) \Pi(dz),$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \geq 0$, and the Lévy measure of X , Π , is a measure defined on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\int_{(0,\infty)} (1 \wedge z^2) \Pi(dz) < \infty.$$

- we assume that $\psi'(0+) = \mathbb{E}[X_1] > -\infty$.
- We assume that either X has unbounded variation or Π is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Some assumptions and properties of the process X

- The process X has bounded variation paths if and only if

$$\sigma = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{(0,1]} z\Pi(dz) < \infty.$$

In this case, X can be written as

$$X_t = ct - \tilde{S}_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

where $c := \gamma + \int_{(0,1]} z\Pi(dz)$ and $\tilde{S} = \{\tilde{S}_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a drift-less subordinator.

- It is necessary that the constant c is greater than zero. Note that the Laplace exponent of X , with X as in Eq. (3), is given as follows,

$$\psi(\theta) = c\theta - \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\theta z})\Pi(dz), \quad \theta \geq 0.$$

Review of scale functions

- Let $W^{(q)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be scale function of X , which takes value zero on $(-\infty, 0)$, is strictly increasing functions on $[0, \infty)$ and is defined by its Laplace transform,

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\theta x} W^{(q)}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\psi(\theta) - q}, \quad \theta > \Phi(q),$$

where $\Phi(q) := \sup\{\lambda \geq 0 : \psi(\lambda) = q\}$.

- Define, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\overline{W}^{(q)}(x) := \int_0^x W^{(q)}(y) dy,$$

$$Z^{(q)}(x) := 1 + q \overline{W}^{(q)}(x),$$

$$\overline{Z}^{(q)}(x) := \int_0^x Z^{(q)}(z) dz.$$

- For $x \leq 0$, $\overline{W}^{(q)}(x) = 0$, $Z^{(q)}(x) = 1$ and $\overline{Z}^{(q)}(x) = x$.
- We have $W^{(q)} \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$.
- We know

$$W^{(q)}(0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } X \text{ is of unbounded variation,} \\ \frac{1}{c}, & \text{if } X \text{ is of bounded variation,} \end{cases}$$

$$W^{(q)'}(0+) := \lim_{x \downarrow 0} W^{(q)'}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\sigma^2}, & \text{if } \sigma > 0, \\ \infty, & \text{if } \sigma = 0 \text{ and } \Pi(0, \infty) = \infty, \\ \frac{q + \Pi(0, \infty)}{c^2}, & \text{if } \sigma = 0 \text{ and } \Pi(0, \infty) < \infty. \end{cases}$$

- We have that $Z^{(q)}$ is a strictly log-convex function on $(0, \infty)$, for $q > 0$ and $\overline{W}^{(q)}$ is a log-concave function on $(0, \infty)$.

One- and two-sided exit formulae

We define the stopping times τ_{a^-} and τ_{a^+} ,

$$\tau_a^- := \inf \{t > 0 : X_t < a\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_a^+ := \inf \{t > 0 : X_t > a\}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R};$$

here and further on, let $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. Then, for $a > b$ and $x \leq a$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{-q\tau_a^+} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_a^+ < \tau_b^-\}} \right] = \frac{W^{(q)}(x - b)}{W^{(q)}(a - b)},$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{-q\tau_b^-} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_a^+ > \tau_b^-\}} \right] = Z^{(q)}(x - b) - Z^{(q)}(a - b) \frac{W^{(q)}(x - b)}{W^{(q)}(a - b)}.$$

Reflected Lévy processes

Let Y be the Lévy process reflected from below 0, i.e.,

$$Y_t := X_t + R_t^0 := X_t + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (-X_s \vee 0), \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

Taking $\kappa_b := \inf\{t > 0 : Y_t \in (b, \infty)\}$, with $b > 0$, we know that

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{-q\kappa_b} \right] = \frac{Z^{(q)}(x)}{Z^{(q)}(b)}, \quad x \leq b.$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_{[0, \kappa_b]} e^{-qt} dR_t^0 \right] = -k^{(q)}(x) + \frac{Z^{(q)}(x)}{Z^{(q)}(b)} k^{(q)}(b), \quad x \leq b,$$

where

$$k^{(q)}(x) := \bar{Z}^{(q)}(x) + \frac{\psi'(0+)}{q}.$$

Capital injection and fixed transaction cost

Dividend problem

Find an optimal admissible strategy $\pi^* = (L^{\pi^*}, R^{\pi^*}) \in \Theta_\delta$ such that

$$v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{\pi^*}(x) = V_{\delta, \Lambda}(x) := \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} v_{\delta, \Lambda}^\pi(x),$$

where

$$v_{\delta, \Lambda}^\pi(x) := \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} d \left(L_t^\pi - \delta \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\Delta L_s^\pi > 0\}} \right) \right] \\ - \Lambda \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} dR_t^\pi \right].$$

Remark

Assume that $\Lambda \geq 1$, otherwise the value function will go to infinity.

Reflected (c_1, c_2) -policies

- Let (c_1, c_2) be a pair such that $0 \leq c_1 < c_2$. Let Y be the Lévy process reflected as before (Eq. (4)).
- So we set $X_t^{c_1, c_2} = Y_t$, for $t \leq T_1^{c_1, c_2}$, where $T_1^{c_1, c_2} = \inf\{t > 0 : Y_t > c_2\}$.
- The process then jumps downward by $c_1 - Y_{T_1^{c_1, c_2}}$ so that $X_{T_1^{c_1, c_2}}^{c_1, c_2} = c_1$.
- Now, for $T_1^{c_1, c_2} \leq t < T_2^{c_1, c_2} = \inf\{t > T_1^{c_1, c_2} : X_t^{c_1, c_2} > c_2\}$, X^{c_1, c_2} is the reflected process from below at 0 of $X_t - (c_1 - X_{T_1^{c_1, c_2}})$, and $X_{T_2^{c_1, c_2}}^{c_1, c_2} = c_1$.
- By repeating this procedure, we can construct the process inductively. The process X^{c_1, c_2} clearly admits the decomposition

$$X_t^{c_1, c_2} = X_t - L_t^{(c_1, c_2), 0} + R_t^{(c_1, c_2), 0}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Value function of reflected (c_1, c_2) -policies

The expected NPV associated with a reflected (c_1, c_2) -policy is given by

$$v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1, c_2}(x) = \begin{cases} Z^{(q)}(x) G_{\Lambda}(c_1, c_2) + \Lambda k^{(q)}(x), & \text{if } x \leq c_2, \\ x - c_1 - \delta + v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1, c_2}(c_1), & \text{if } x > c_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$k^{(q)}(x) = \bar{Z}^{(q)}(x) + \frac{\psi'(0+)}{q},$$
$$G_{\Lambda}(c_1, c_2) := \frac{c_2 - c_1 - \delta - \Lambda \left(\bar{Z}^{(q)}(c_2) - \bar{Z}^{(q)}(c_1) \right)}{Z^{(q)}(c_2) - Z^{(q)}(c_1)}, \quad (5)$$

for all $c_2 > c_1 \geq 0$.

Choice of Optimal Thresholds

- Note that G_Λ is C^2 on $\mathcal{A} := \{(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : c_1 < c_2\}$, and

$$\lim_{c_2 \downarrow c_1} G_\Lambda(c_1, c_2) = -\infty, \text{ for } c_1 \geq 0 \text{ fixed,}$$

$$\lim_{|c_1|+|c_2| \rightarrow \infty} G_\Lambda(c_1, c_2) = \lim_{c_2 \rightarrow \infty} G_\Lambda(c_1, c_2) = -\frac{\Lambda}{\Phi(q)}.$$

- The function G_Λ attains its maximum on \mathcal{A} .

Proposition

There exists a unique pair (c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge) in

$$\mathcal{B} := \{(c_1^*, c_2^*) \in \mathcal{A} : G_\Lambda(c_1^*, c_2^*) \geq G_\Lambda(c_1, c_2) \text{ for all } (c_1, c_2) \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Furthermore, $0 \leq c_1^\wedge \leq a_\Lambda < c_2^\wedge < \infty$ and the value function associated with the (c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge) -policy is

$$v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge}(x) = \begin{cases} Z^{(q)}(x) \zeta_\Lambda(c_2^\wedge) + \Lambda k^{(q)}(x), & \text{if } x \leq c_2^\wedge, \\ x - c_2^\wedge + v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge}(c_2^\wedge), & \text{if } x > c_2^\wedge, \end{cases}$$

Proposition

There exists a unique pair (c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge) in

$$\mathcal{B} := \{(c_1^*, c_2^*) \in \mathcal{A} : G_\Lambda(c_1^*, c_2^*) \geq G_\Lambda(c_1, c_2) \text{ for all } (c_1, c_2) \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Furthermore, $0 \leq c_1^\wedge \leq a_\Lambda < c_2^\wedge < \infty$ and the value function associated with the (c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge) -policy is

$$v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge}(x) = \begin{cases} Z^{(q)}(x)\zeta_\Lambda(c_2^\wedge) + \Lambda k^{(q)}(x), & \text{if } x \leq c_2^\wedge, \\ x - c_2^\wedge + v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge}(c_2^\wedge), & \text{if } x > c_2^\wedge, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\zeta_\Lambda(a) := \frac{1 - \Lambda Z^{(q)}(a)}{qW^{(q)}(a)}, \quad a > 0,$$

and

$$a_\Lambda = \sup\{a \geq 0 : \zeta_\Lambda(a) \geq \zeta_\Lambda(x), \text{ for all } x \geq 0\}.$$

Previous results to the verification theorem

- The function $v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge}$ is $C^2((0, \infty) \setminus \{c_2^\wedge\})$ and $C^1(0, \infty)$.
- Let \mathcal{L} be the operator defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}F(x) &:= \gamma F'(x) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} F''(x) \\ &+ \int_{(0, \infty)} (F(x-z) - F(x) + F'(x)z \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < z \leq 1\}}) \Pi(dz), \quad x > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

- ▶ $(\mathcal{L} - q)v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge}(x) = 0$ for $x < c_2^\wedge$.
- ▶ $(\mathcal{L} - q)v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge}(x) \leq 0$ for $x > c_2^\wedge$.
- For $x > 0$, we have that $\frac{d}{dx} v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge}(x) \leq \Lambda$.
- For $x \geq y \geq 0$, we have that $v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge}(x) - v_{\delta, \Lambda}^{c_1^\wedge, c_2^\wedge}(y) \geq x - y - \delta$.

Verification theorem

Recalling that the functions $V_{\delta,\Lambda}(x) := \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} v_{\delta,\Lambda}^\pi(x)$ and

$$v_{\delta,\Lambda}^{c_1^\Lambda, c_2^\Lambda}(x) = \begin{cases} Z^{(q)}(x)\zeta_\Lambda(c_2^\Lambda) + \Lambda k^{(q)}(x), & \text{if } x \leq c_2^\Lambda, \\ x - c_2^\Lambda + v_{\delta,\Lambda}^{c_1^\Lambda, c_2^\Lambda}(c_2^\Lambda), & \text{if } x > c_2^\Lambda, \end{cases}$$

we have $v_{\delta,\Lambda}^{c_1^\Lambda, c_2^\Lambda}(x) = V_{\delta,\Lambda}(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. Hence, the $(c_1^\Lambda, c_2^\Lambda)$ -policy is optimal.

Optimal Dividends with Capital Injection Constraint

We aim to solve

$$V_\delta(x, K) := \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} d \left(L_t^\pi - \delta \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\Delta L_s^\pi > 0\}} \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

s.t. $\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} dR_t^\pi \right] \leq K$, for any $x \geq 0$ and $K \geq 0$.

Main goal

The dual problem of (6) is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} V_\delta^D(x, K) &:= \inf_{\Lambda \geq 0} \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} v_{\delta, \Lambda}^\pi(x, K) \\ &= \inf_{\Lambda \geq 0} \left\{ \Lambda K + \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} v_{\delta, \Lambda}^\pi(x) \right\} = \inf_{\Lambda \geq 1} \left\{ \Lambda K + \sup_{\pi \in \Theta_\delta} v_{\delta, \Lambda}^\pi(x) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The main goal is to prove that $V_\delta^D(x, K) \leq V_\delta(x, K)$.

Previous results

- The curve $\Lambda \mapsto (c_1^\Lambda, c_2^\Lambda)$ is continuous and unbounded, for $\Lambda \in [1, \infty)$.

Previous results

- The curve $\Lambda \mapsto (c_1^\Lambda, c_2^\Lambda)$ is continuous and unbounded, for $\Lambda \in [1, \infty)$.
- Let $\bar{\Psi}_x(c_1, c_2)$ be the expected present value of the injected capital under a (c_1, c_2) -reflected policy, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Psi}_x(c_1, c_2) &:= \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} dR_t^{(c_1, c_2), 0} \right] \\ &= \begin{cases} Z^{(q)}(x) \frac{\bar{Z}^{(q)}(c_2) - \bar{Z}^{(q)}(c_1)}{Z^{(q)}(c_2) - Z^{(q)}(c_1)} - k^{(q)}(x), & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq c_2, \\ \frac{\bar{Z}^{(q)}(c_2)Z^{(q)}(c_1) - \bar{Z}^{(q)}(c_1)Z^{(q)}(c_2)}{Z^{(q)}(c_2) - Z^{(q)}(c_1)} - \frac{\psi'(0+)}{q}, & \text{if } x > c_2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

• Let $x \geq 0$ be fixed. Then

- ▶ If $c_1 \geq 0$ is fixed, then the function $\bar{\Psi}_x(c_1, c_2)$ is strictly decreasing for all $c_2 > c_1$, and

$$\lim_{c_2 \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\Psi}_x(c_1, c_2) = -k^{(q)}(x) + \frac{Z^{(q)}(x)}{\Phi(q)} =: \underline{K}_x,$$

- ▶ If $c_2 > 0$ is fixed, $\bar{\Psi}_x(c_1, c_2)$ is strictly decreasing for all $c_1 \in [0, c_2]$.
- ▶ For each $K \in (\underline{K}_x, \bar{K}_x)$, with $\bar{K}_x := \bar{\Psi}_x(0, c_2^1)$, there exist $\underline{c} \leq \bar{c}$ such that the level curve

$$L_K(\bar{\Psi}_x) := \{(c_1, c_2) : \bar{\Psi}_x(c_1, c_2) = K\}$$

is continuous, contained in the set $[0, \underline{c}] \times [\underline{c}, \bar{c}]$ and contains the points $(0, \bar{c})$ and (\underline{c}, \bar{c}) .

Theorem

Assume $\delta > 0$ and let V_δ and V_δ^D as in Equations (6) and (7), respectively, then $V_\delta = V_\delta^D$. Furthermore, if x, K are such that

- $K < \underline{K}_x$, then $V_\delta(x, K) = -\infty$;
- $K = \underline{K}_x$, then $V_\delta(x, K) = 0$;
- $K \geq \bar{K}_x$, then $V_\delta(x, K) = V_{\delta,1}(x) + K$; and
- $K \in (\underline{K}_x, \bar{K}_x)$, then there exists $\Lambda^* \geq 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} V_\delta(x, K) &= \Lambda^* K + V_{\delta, \Lambda^*}(x) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} d \left(L_t^{(c_1^{\Lambda^*}, c_2^{\Lambda^*}), 0} - \delta \sum_{0 \leq s < t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\Delta L_s^{(c_1^{\Lambda^*}, c_2^{\Lambda^*}), 0} > 0\}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thank you